Enzyme Regulation and Mechanisms



Last Week...





©1997 Encyclopaedia Britannica, Inc.





Enzymes in vitro

- Ok, so we know a few tricks that enzymes use to catalyze reactions by stabilizing the transition state.

- But how do we uncover these mechanisms?
- How do we figure out their fundamental properties as enzymes?
 - <u>We'd better purify the enzyme, get it into a test tube and study it</u> <u>in vitro!</u>

- Enter these two characters:



Biochemische Zeitschrift 49, 333 (1913)

Michaelis-Menten Kinetics



Invertase: Hydrolyzes β-D-Fructose

Now in any moment according to the law of mass action

(1)
$$S(\Phi - \phi - u_1 - u_2) = k\phi$$

(2)
$$F(\Phi - \phi - \upsilon_1 - \upsilon_2) = k_1 \upsilon_1$$

(3)
$$G(\Phi - \phi - u_1 - u_2) = k_2 u_2$$

(4)
$$\varphi = S(\Phi - U_1 - U_2)/(S + k)$$

From (1) it follows (4) $\varphi = S(\Phi - U1 - U2)/(S + k)$

We can eliminate u_1 and u_2 if we first find by division of (2) and (3):

$$\begin{split} & \cup_2 = (k_1/k_2) \cup_1 \\ \text{and further by division of (1) and (3)} \\ & \cup_1 = (k/k_1) \cdot \phi \cdot (F/S) \\ \text{so that} \\ & U_1 + y_2 = k \cdot \phi \cdot (F/S)(1/k_1 + 1/k_2) \\ \text{Let us refer next to the abbreviation} \\ & 1/k_1 + 1/k_2 = q \\ \text{so that} \\ & \cup_1 + y_2 = k \cdot q \cdot \phi F/S \end{split}$$

This gives, substituted in (4) and solved for ϕ ,

 $(4)\,\phi=\Phi.S/[S+k(1{+}qF)]$

- Assumption 1: All enzyme reactions occur via the following steps:



This system is described by the following set of differential equations: d[E]

$$\frac{d[E]}{dt} = -k_1[E][S] + k_{-1}[ES] + k_2[ES]$$
$$\frac{d[ES]}{dt} = k_1[E][S] - k_{-1}[ES] - k_2[ES]$$
$$\frac{d[P]}{dt} = k_2[ES]$$

Assumption 2: There is always a huge amount of S compared to E, *i.e.* [S]»[E]

Assumption 3: The E+S / ES equilibrium is established before we start watching the reaction

- Together, these assumptions imply that the enzyme/substrate complex concentration, [ES], is constant! $\frac{d[ES]}{dt} = 0$

$$0 = k_1[E][S] - k_{-1}[ES] - k_2[ES]$$

- Rearrange in terms of [ES]:
$$[ES] = \frac{k_1[E][S]}{k_{-1} + k_2}$$

- This is the **Steady State Assumption** (Briggs-Haldane)

- Our steady state equation contains a term that we don't like: We don't know the concentration of free enzyme, [E].

- We get rid of [E] by describing it in terms of the amount of enzyme we started with: $[E] = [E_0] - [ES]$

$$[ES] = \frac{k_1([E_0] - [ES])[S]}{k_{-1} + k_2}$$

- Our rate terms are annoying. Lets combine them into a prettier, single term: $K_{M} = \frac{k_{-1} + k_{2}}{k_{1}}$ $[ES] = \frac{([E_{0}] - [ES])[S]}{K_{M}}$

- Looking better, but there's still an ugly spot: We have two [ES] terms. We want just one. So we have to factor it out!

$$[ES] = \frac{([E_0] - [ES])[S]}{K_M} \longrightarrow [ES] = [E]_0 \frac{[S]}{K_M + [S]}$$

- So we can now describe [ES] in terms of things that we know ([E]₀ and [S]). But we're not going to be watching [ES]!! We'll be watching [P]!

Fortunately:
$$\frac{d[P]}{dt} = V = k_2[ES]$$

-Subbing in:
$$V = k_2[E]_0 \frac{[S]}{K_M + [S]} = \frac{V_{\text{max}}[S]}{K_M + [S]}$$

So what happens if you monitor d[P]/dt at different [S]...



- * $K_m = \text{the } [S] \text{ at } V_{max}/2$. It also = $[E]+[S]/\Sigma[ES]$.
- k₂ = maximum number of turnovers/sec. It cannot be greater than any forward microscopic rate.

Linearized Michaelis-Menten Kinetics

- For some strange reason, people today still want to linearize Michaelis-Menten kinetic data! They are crazy.

$$\frac{1}{V} = \frac{K_m + [S]}{V_{\max}[S]} = \frac{K_m}{V_{\max}} \frac{1}{[S]} + \frac{1}{V_{\max}}$$

This is called a'Lineweaver-Burke' or'Double Reciprocal' plot





Competitive Inhibition

- Understanding enzyme inhibition is important for us because it is **'the'** basis of metabolic control:

- Competitive inhibition: Inhibitor binds to enzyme at active site



- Effect: Takes a percentage of enzymes 'out of action'

- Effect in terms of Michaelis-Menten: Lowers [ES], *increases* K_M but has *no effect* on V_{max}

- Metabolic Example use: Feedback inhibtion!

- Lineweaver-Burke plots of product inhibition in HepC protease:



Non-competitive Inhibition

- Non-competitive: Inhibitor binds somewhere other than the active site



- Effect: Reduces the catalytic efficiency of the enzyme, but not it's ability to bind substrate

- Effect in terms of Michaelis-Menten: Lowers k_2 , changes V_{max} but $\textit{not}\,K_M$

- Metabolic Example use: General inhibition!

Non-competitive Inhibition

- See! Decreases V_{max}!



J. Braz. Chem. Soc., Vol. 17, No. 8, 1558-1565, 2006

Un-competitive Inhibition

- Un-competitive: Inhibitor binds the ES complex



- Effect: Takes some enzyme out of action *and* reduces catalytic efficiency

- Effect in terms of Michaelis-Menten: Lowers k_2 , changes V_{max} and K_{M}

- Metabolic use: General inhibition

Mixed Inhibition Example

- Looks like both competive and non-competitive!







Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 2005. 45:291–310

Pre-steady State Enzyme Kinetics

- Michaelis-Menten kinetics is a 'steady state' analysis. It can give us macroscopic parameters for the reaction, but not microscopic parameters.

- To get at the microscopic rates, we have to study the reaction prior to the establishment of one or more internal equilibria



- In a 'steady state' analysis, k_{ac} and k_{3} cannot be isolated from each other

Pre-steady State Enzyme Kinetics



Pre-steady state saturation plot

